

Dear Ted and Marty,

Your book, "Can You Believe in God and Evolution," sheds light on why you don't want to take my side in the lawsuit pending in the Second Circuit about the teaching of evolution.

In my lawsuit, humanism is a religion because humanists discriminate against Christians, Muslims, Buddhists, etc. Your mention of "materialism, reductionism, scientism, ..." makes no sense.

Location 111 in your book has, "God is the primary or first cause". This means you don't understand the arguments for God's existence and have no right to call yourself a theist. For the same reason, atheists and agnostics have no right to give themselves labels that imply they know what they are talking about.

Whether or not God exists is totally irrelevant. The decision we have to make is whether or not God has communicated to us through the Bible. One of the reasons to believe in the Bible is that people who don't believe don't know the arguments for God's existence or pretend they don't know.

The best argument for God's existence starts with the scientific fact that human beings did not evolve from animals. This fact infuriates Darwinists and you keep yourself safe from their anger by not saying it. Another way of expressing this is to say that the human soul is spiritual. This also infuriates anti-religion fanatics because it can be proven.

You, however, make atheists happy by saying or implying that human beings "have" souls. There is no evidence for this and it conflicts with Catholic dogma about Original Sin and The Second Coming. However, you consider it a reasonable theory and criticize the judgment of people who deny human beings have souls. You call them "materialists," and keep on good terms with them by showing respect for their point of view.