



David Roemer <david@dkroemer.com>

Roemer v. Columbia U.

1 message

David Roemer <david@dkroemer.com>

Thu, May 4, 2017 at 2:49 AM

To: Ted Peters <tedfpeters@gmail.com>, Marty Hewlett <hewlett@u.arizona.edu>

Dear Ted and Marty,

Your book, "Can You Believe in God and Evolution," sheds light on why you don't want to take my side in the lawsuit pending in the Second Circuit about the teaching of evolution.

Location 111 in your book has, "God is the primary or first cause." This means you don't understand the arguments for God's existence and have no right to call yourself a theist. For the same reason, atheists and agnostics have no right to give themselves labels that imply they know what they are talking about.

Whether or not God exists is not relevant to any decision we have to make in this world. We have to decide whether or not God has communicated to us through the Bible. One of the reasons to believe in the Bible is that people who don't believe don't know the arguments for God's existence or pretend they don't know.

The best argument for God's existence starts with the scientific fact that human beings did not evolve from animals. This fact infuriates Darwinists and you keep yourself safe from their anger by not saying it. Another way of expressing this is to say that the human soul is spiritual. This also infuriates anti-religion fanatics because it can be proven. In my opinion, discussing the Bible with someone who thinks human beings evolved from animals or refuses to admit that the human soul is spiritual is casting your pearls before swine.

You, however, make atheists happy by saying or implying that human beings "have" souls. There is no evidence for this and it conflicts with Catholic dogma about Original Sin and The Second Coming. However, you consider it a reasonable theory and criticize the judgment of people who deny human beings have souls. You call them "materialists," and keep on good terms with them by showing respect for their point of view. You don't have the temperament or guts to call anyone a swine.

In your email to me you say, "If you appear to be an anti-Darwinist, your opponents will defend Darwin instead of humanism and this will lead to an unnecessary loss for your case." This is precisely why I lost at the district level. If I followed your advice, I would not have filed the lawsuit and would have remained on good terms with Columbia University.

I have some more recommended readings:

https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An_Analogy_Between_Nazi_Germany_and_the_United_States

https://www.academia.edu/23340072/WHY_PEOPLE_BELIEVE_GOD_CAUSED_THE_BIG_BANG

Very truly yours,

David Roemer

2/7/2018

New Evangelization Mail - Roemer v. Columbia U.

<http://www.newevangelization.info>