

## Open Letter to American Philosophical Association

The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (SEP) has three lengthy entries on the arguments for God's existence (cosmological, teleological, and ontological). None of these entries explains the correct concept of God and the rational argument for God's existence. On December 16, 2017, Uri Nodelman sent me this email:

*Thanks for your message. We take critiques of SEP entries seriously --especially if there are claims about the errors of fact (or of omission) or claims about violations of SEP guidelines seriously. At present, however, we don't know exactly which passages you find problematic. From a quick read of your linked page, it seems like you think there ought to be some citation of Etienne Gilson's work and possibly Alan Bennett's work.*

Alan Bennett is a British comedian and has a series of very funny skits titled "Oxford Philosophy." The intelligent argument is based on the scientific fact that human beings did not evolve from animals. Human beings are superior to animals because we have free will and the conscious knowledge of human beings, as opposed to the sense knowledge of animals. Everyone should know and understand this argument, not just for the sake of deciding whether or not we have to pay for our sins after we die, but in order to understand history. I explain the rational argument at:

[https://www.academia.edu/23340072/WHY\\_PEOPLE\\_BELIEVE\\_GOD\\_CAUSED\\_THE\\_BIG\\_BANG](https://www.academia.edu/23340072/WHY_PEOPLE_BELIEVE_GOD_CAUSED_THE_BIG_BANG)

Related to the pseudoscience that human beings evolved from animals is the pseudoscience that natural selection explains the descent with modification of bacteria into mammals, not just the adaptation of species to the environment. While no peer-reviewed biology article promotes this pseudoscience, the *American Journal of Physics* does so in an article titled "Entropy and Evolution" that disgraces every physicist in the United States. I explain this at:

[https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An\\_Analogy\\_Between\\_Nazi\\_Germany\\_and\\_the\\_United\\_States](https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An_Analogy_Between_Nazi_Germany_and_the_United_States)

I gave oral argument to the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in the First Amendment lawsuit I filed against the president of Columbia University for refusing my offer to give a lecture/lesson about God's existence. The podcast is on the court's website (Oral Arguments, 17-818, January 18, 2018). The U. S. Supreme Court denied my petition to review the dismissal of this lawsuit (docket no 17-1361). However, there is pending a misconduct complaint I filed against the district judge who dismissed *David Roemer v. Attorney Grievance Committee; Jane E. Booth; Lee C. Bollinger*.

Very truly yours,  
David Roemer

<http://www.newevangelization.info>