

345 Webster Ave., Apt, 4-0  
Brooklyn, New York 11230  
April 5, 2017

National Association of Evangelicals  
P.O. Box 23269  
Washington DC, 20026

Dear Mr. Anderson,

I have appealed a dismissed First Amendment lawsuit against Columbia University to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (see *Roemer v. Attorney General Grievance Committee*, Docket No. 17-818), and am hoping the NAE will take an interest in this matter. If you get a PACER account, you can read my brief and the papers filed in the district court.

The National Center for Science Education (NCSE) on its website has a page titled, "The Ten Major Court Cases about Evolution and Creationism." The Tennessee law in the famous *Scopes Monkey Trial* (1925) is the same as the law the Supreme Court of the United States invalidated in *Epperson v. Arkansas* (1968). *Epperson* is discussed below and the Tennessee case is on a supplementary list of 21 cases. My lawsuit is directly related to these lawsuits.

In *Epperson v. Arkansas* (1968), the United States Supreme Court invalidated an Arkansas statute that says,

"It shall be unlawful for any teacher or other instructor in any University,..... to teach the theory or doctrine that mankind ascended or descended from a lower order of animals... "

The 10th paragraph of my complaint explains why it is a scientific fact that mankind did not evolve from animals just as it is a scientific fact that mental beings, such as Santa Claus, did not evolve from animals.

In *McLean v. Arkansas Board of Education* (1982), a district court invalidated an Arkansas statute that says,

"Public schools within this State shall give balanced treatment to creation-science and to evolution-science."

The ruling in this case is very similar to the ruling in *Kitzmiller v. Dover* (2005), which concerned the theory of biological evolution called Intelligent Design I (ID). ID is an example of "creation-science." "Evolution-science" refers to the study of biological evolution.

There is a very close connection to my 11th paragraph, which states the rational cosmological argument for God's existence. It is pretty clear to me, many federal judges, and many others that "creation-science" is a left-handed way of arguing that God exists. In other words, "creation-science" is a kind of cosmological argument for God's existence.

In the United States, many humanists have a church, a pastor, and a creed. What makes humanism a religion under the First Amendment, however, is the fact that humanists

discriminate against people who believe in God. Humanists also consciously and unconsciously disseminate misinformation about history and science to promote their religion. An example of discrimination can be found in Wikipedia's entry titled, "Sternberg peer review controversy."

The NCSE in its summary of Epperson says that the law "prohibited the teaching of evolution." This is not true as my direct quotation proves. The movie "Inherit the Wind" about the Scopes Monkey Trial disseminates the same information about the Tennessee law.

Biologists, of course, understand evolutionary biology, but in the United States many non-biologists do not because of anti-religion propaganda. What follows is a list of truths many non-biologists in the United States don't know:

- 1) Charles Darwin contributed nothing to biological evolution. Pierre Louis Maupertuis in the 18th century and al-Jāhīz in the 9th century invented the theory of natural selection. Darwin was a propagandist for eugenics, which is a form of racism.
- 2) The biological evolution of animals was taught in Tennessee and Arkansas in the 19th century.
- 3) The theory of evolution is more accurately called the theory of common descent with modifications because of how rapidly bacteria evolved into elephants and how much more complex an elephant is than a bacteria.
- 4) The branch of science called biology does not address the mind-body problem because the mind-body problem is a philosophical or metaphysical question.
- 5) Natural selection is just one mechanism for explaining common descent. Three other mechanisms are epigenetics, natural genetic engineering, and facilitated variation. All these mechanisms only explain why giraffes have long necks, not how giraffes descended from worms. No biologist claims these mechanisms explain common descent.
- 6) Biological evolution does not violate the second law of thermodynamics because the second law does not apply to biological systems, not because of energy supplied by the Sun.

The American Journal of Physics published an absurd article titled "Entropy and Evolution" (November 2008) with a calculation proving that #6 is not true. My correspondence about this scandal is at: <http://www.pseudoscience123.com>.

The following essay includes an account of the Sternberg scandal:  
[https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An\\_Analogy\\_Between\\_Nazi\\_Germany\\_and\\_the\\_United\\_States](https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An_Analogy_Between_Nazi_Germany_and_the_United_States)

Very truly yours,  
David Roemer  
Mailed and sent on NAE form.